

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,070	08/30/2000	Scott Andrew Cummings	108339-09030	1144	
32294	7590 01/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			BLOUNT, STEVEN		
14TH FLOO: 8000 TOWE	R RS CRESCENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS CO	ORNER, VA 22182	2668			
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/651,070	CUMMINGS, SCOTT AND	OREW
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven Blount	2668	
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI stute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	•	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 39</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 8, 14, 19 - 20, and 29 - 32</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 - 13, 15 - 18, 21 - 28, and 33 - 39</u> 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration. e rejected. g is/are objected to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\overline{\text{D}} \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper Not	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/tipe Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 8, 14 and 29 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,798,743 to Ma et al.

With regard to claim 1, Ma et al teach MAC 702/704 and 810/820, CPU interface (col 4 lines 40+), upstream flow module 802/804 wherein the QOS is described in col 11 lines 43+; bridging and routing module 808, wherein wrapping occurs as described in col 14 lines 57+; Qos occurs in member 810; downstream flow module 810, wherein member 810 classifies the packets according to rules. Although a bus is not explicitly mentioned, the examiner believes that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the obviousness of using busses for members 701/720 of Ma et al. The examiner notes that no patentable weight has been given to the use of a cable modem, since although it is recited in the preamble, it is not referred to in the body of the claim.

With regard to claim 2, scheduling occurs in the upstream flow module.

With regard to claim 3, these three functions occur in Ma et al.

With regard to claims 4 - 5, see discussion of QOS above.

With regard to claim 6, DOCSIS would be an obvious protocol to use.

With regard to claim 7, see memory 814.

Art Unit: 2661

With regard to claim 8, see interfaces 801 and 820.

With regard to claim 14, the examiner takes Official Notice that leaky bucket is a well known algorithm in the art.

With regard to claim 29, members 702/704 are a MAC equivalent, as are members 710/720; member 704 is a network functions module; QOS in the upstream flow module is discussed in col 11 lines 10+ and 43+; FIB 708 is an obvious equivalent of a bridging and routing module; flow management of the packet is discussed with respect to member 704; wrapping is discussed in col 14 lines 57+. Again, though a bus is not explicitly stated to carry the data *to* and *from* the router, the examiner notes that the bus 15 in figure 4 would make this obvious.

With regard to claim 30, the QOS performs a rate shaping function.

With regard to claims 31 - 32, the examiner takes Official Notice that leaky bucket is a well known algorithm, and that priority encoding is a well known method for implementing this algorithm.

3. Claims 19 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 6,798,743 to Ma et al as applied above to claims 1 - 8, 14 and 29 - 32, and further in view of U.S. patent 6,618,386 to Liu et al.

With regard to claim 19, Ma teaches flow module 702/704 (col 9 lines 58+), memory (access list verification) 706, router 708, and downstream flow module 710. Although busses are not explicitly stated to carry the data *to* and *from* the router, the examiner the examiner notes that the bus 15 in figure 4 would make this obvious.

Ma does not, however, teach a memory means for receiving a packet pointer for a packet selected from one of a plurality of sources. This is taught in Liu et al. See col 8 lines 25 – 35. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a packet pointer in Ma in light of the teachings of Liu et al in order to provide a network functions module which can operate with a smaller memory requirement.

With regard to claim 20, the communication chain shown between members 710 and 711 is linear.

4. Claims 9 - 13, 15 - 18, 21 - 28, and 33 - 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten to include the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11/2/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, with respect to claim 1, that Ma et al does not teach QOS in the upstream flow direction. However, in lines 44+, "QOS processing" is explicitly mentioned. The examiner believes that, read in context, it is obvious that the QOS occurs in members 802/804.

Also, the examiner, in response to applicants remarks regarding "wrapping", notes that encapsulation is an equivalent form of "wrapping", and that wrapping "control information" is never claimed.

Art Unit: 2661

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Blount whose telephone number is 571 - 272 - 3071. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Chau Nguyen, can be reached on 571 - 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273 - 8300.

Art Unit: 2661

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB 1/22/06

ALPUS H. HSU

PRIMARY EXAMINER